UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,797	11/26/2003	Javier Alarcon	P-6013	4139
46851 7590 11/08/2007 DAVID W. HIGHET, VP & CHIEF IP COUNSEL BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC110			EXAMINER	
			BERHANU, ETSUB D	
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/721,797	ALARCON ET AL.			
· · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Etsub D. Berhanu	3768			
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	September 2007.				
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,7,12,19-32 and 34-46</u> is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,7,12,19-32 and 34-46</u> is/are page 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyatection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
	·				
•					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/07. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) v(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Ŵ

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 19-32, 34, 35, 37, 38 and 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcala et al.'405 (previously cited) further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 (previously cited) further in view of Crowley'229 (USPN 6,289,229).

See rejection set forth in paragraph 2 of Office Action mailed out 20 October 2006.

Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 discloses all the elements of the current invention, as discussed in paragraph 2 of the previous Office Action, except for the device comprising a needle or catheter tip that houses and protects the optical conduit and the sensing element within the tip. Crowley'229 teaches the use of a needle or catheter as a cover/tip of a sensing device in order to be able to easily introduce the sensing device within the body of a subject and also to locate the sensing device precisely within the test subject while causing minimal trauma to the surrounding tissue of the subject (col. 6, lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 to include a catheter or needle tip that houses the optical conduit and sensing element, as taught by Crowley'229, since it would allow the sensing device to be easily introduced into a test subject as well as the precise locating of the sensing device within the test subject.

3. Claims 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcala et al.'405, further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'299, as applied to claim 1, further in view of Darrow et al.'651 (previously cited).

Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'299 discloses all the elements of the current invention, as discussed in paragraph 2 above, except for the device comprising at least one reference group, wherein the reference group and reporter group are excited at the same wavelengths and wherein the luminescence of the reporter group and reference group are detected at the same wavelength.

Darrow et al.'651 teaches the use of a reference group having excitation and emission wavelengths similar to a fluorophore of interest while using a phase-modulation method in order to minimize instrumental errors (page 24, sections [0306] and [0310]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sensing element of Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'229 to include a reference fluorophore having excitation and emission wavelengths similar to the fluorophore of interest, as taught by Darrow et al.'651, since it would minimize instrumental errors. It is noted that the sensing element discussed in Example 5 of Lakowicz et al.'534 uses the phase-modulation method discussed in Darrow et al.'651.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see lines 1-6 of page 8 of the Remarks, filed 04 September 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 19-32 and 34-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Alcala et al.'405, Lakowicz et al.'534, Darrow et al.'651 and Crowley'229.

Art Unit: 3768

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Etsub D. Berhanu whose telephone number is 571.272.6563. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 - 3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian

Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ÉDB